

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01699-MMD-DJA

ORDER ON FEES REMAND

This is a long-running software copyright and unfair competition dispute between Plaintiffs and Counter Defendants Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation (collectively, “Oracle”) and Defendants and Counter Claimants Rimini Street, Inc., and Seth Ravin generally regarding Rimini Street, Inc.’s unauthorized copying of Oracle’s enterprise software into and from development environments created by Rimini Street, Inc. for its clients, along with disputes regarding allegedly false statements in marketing and advertising and unfair competition. (ECF Nos. 1253 at 2, 1305 at 12-13.) Following a bench trial, the Court mostly—but not entirely—found in Oracle’s favor and entered a permanent injunction against Rimini. (ECF Nos. 1536 (“Bench Order”), 1537 (the “Injunction”), 1538 (“Judgment”).) The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit subsequently vacated some of the Bench Order and the portions of the Injunction Rimini appealed in a published opinion in the end of 2024. (ECF No. 1617 (the “Opinion”).) After the Ninth Circuit issued its mandate on the Opinion, the Court vacated the Injunction and portions of the Bench Order and issued a revised Injunction. (ECF Nos. 1634, 1635.) The parties are currently litigating Oracle’s copyright infringement claim as to Rimini’s PeopleSoft support processes consistent with the Opinion. (ECF No. 1636.)

///


1 In addition, and tangentially, the Court awarded attorneys' fees and costs to
2 Oracle. (ECF No. 1610 ("Fees Order"), 1611 (judgment).) Rimini separately appealed the
3 Fees Order. (ECF No. 1612.) The Ninth Circuit recently remanded Rimini's appeal of the
4 Fees Order to the Court, instructing the Court to, "consider vacating its previous fees and
5 costs award and consider anew the award of fees in light of our merits decision and
6 subsequent developments in the district court." (ECF No. 1641.)

7 Like the approach the Court took as to the Injunction, the Court agrees with the
8 Ninth Circuit it is most judicially efficient to vacate the Fees Order now, without prejudice
9 to Oracle again moving for fees and costs after the conclusion of the ongoing proceedings
10 regarding copyright infringement as to Rimini's PeopleSoft support processes. The
11 Opinion substantially changed the outcome of this case, and the issues the Court found
12 Oracle prevailed on in awarding fees are entangled with rulings the Ninth Circuit vacated
13 in the Opinion. Moreover, the Ninth Circuit suggests vacating the Fees Order is the
14 appropriate next step for the Court to take. (*Id.*) For clarity, in any renewed motion, Oracle
15 could again seek fees reflecting its narrowed Lanham Act victory and its successful
16 DMCA claims.

17 It is therefore ordered that the Fees Order (ECF No. 1610) and corresponding
18 judgment (ECF No. 1611) are vacated.

19 The Clerk of Court is directed to update the docket accordingly.

20 DATED THIS 2nd Day of June 2025.

21 
22 _____
23 MIRANDA M. DU
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28